



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/573,695

06/27/2006

Howard Elliott

85328-88014

7165

22807 7590 04/25/2007  
GREENSFELDER HEMKER & GALE PC  
SUITE 2000  
10 SOUTH BROADWAY  
ST LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, VINCENT Q

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2858

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

04/25/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/573,695

Applicant(s)

ELLIOTT, HOWARD

Examiner

Vincent Q. Nguyen

Art Unit

2858

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/06, 6/27/06.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawrence et al. (5,760,593).

With respect to claims 1-4, Lawrence et al. discloses a sensor (1) for capacitively measuring the distance to a stationary or passing object comprising an electrode (4) for capacitively coupling with the object, a shield (8, 10) that surrounds the electrode (1) and is electrically isolated from the electrode (1) by an insulating layer (16), and a housing (2) that substantially surrounds the electrode (1) and the shield (8, 10), wherein the electrode (1) and the shield (8, 10) are formed entirely from an electrically conductive ceramic material (Col. 3 lines 12-16) and the insulating layer (16) and the housing (2) are formed entirely from an electrically non-conductive ceramic material, and in that the electrically conductive and electrically non-conductive ceramic materials are selected to have substantially similar thermal expansion coefficients (Col. 3 lines 25-61).

With respect to claims 5, 10, Lawrence et al. discloses a first electrically conductive bridge connected to the electrode (4) and connectable to the conductor of a transmission cable; and a second electrically conductive bridge connected to the

housing (2) and connectable to the conductor of a transmission cable (Col. 5 lines 26-30).

With respect to claims 7, 8, Lawrence discloses the first and the second conductive bridges (16) substantially surrounds the housing (2).

With respect to claims 14, 15, Lawrence discloses the electrode (4), shield (16), insulating layer (14) and housing (2) are bonded together (Figures 2-4).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence et al. (5,760,593) in view of Bailleul et al. (5,973,502).

With respect to claims 6, 11, Lawrence et al. discloses every subject matter recited in the claim except for explicitly showing the first electrically conductive bridge passes through apertures provided in the housing and the second electrically conductive bridge.

Bailleul et al. discloses a system similar to that of Lawrence et al. and further discloses the first electrically conductive bridge (5) passes through apertures provided in the housing (2) and the second electrically conductive bridge (21a) for the purpose of conveying the signal to the cable (21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first electrically conductive bridge passes through apertures provided in the housing and the second electrically conductive bridge as taught by Bailleul et al. into the system of Lawrence et al. because have the first electrically conductive bridge passes through apertures provided in the housing and the

Art Unit: 2858

second electrically conductive bridge is the typical way to convey the detected signal to the analyzing or monitoring circuit.

With respect to claims 9, 12, 13, Lawrence et al. does not explicitly disclose an adaptor.

Bailleul et al. discloses an adaptor (11b) for connecting the second electrically conductive bridge (21a) to the conductor of a transmission cable (21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the adaptor as taught by Bailleul et al. into the system of Lawrence because of the same reason as set forth in claim 6 above.

#### ***Prior Art***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent No. 5,270,664 (McMurtry et al.) discloses a capacitance sensing probe having electrodes E1, E2 for measuring surface roughness.

Patent No. 5,101,165 discloses (Figure 2) an electrical capacitance clearanceometer having electrodes (30, 31), for measuring the clearance.

#### ***Contact Information***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2234. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2858

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent Q. Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2858

  
April 21, 2007